In the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Alexandria Division

MILO SHAMMAS,

Plaintiff, Civil Action No. 1:12-CV-1462
(TSE/TCB)

-against
DAVID KAPPOS, Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Defendant.

TRADEMARK PROCE

PATENT &

HON. Commissioner for Trademarks
Attention: Box TTAB – No Fee
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1451

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 19, 2012 the above captioned COMPLAINT was filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. 37 CFR § 2.145(c)(4). A courtesy copy of the complaint is attached.

Date: December 19, 2012

John N. Jennison (VSB # 36824)

Kathryn Jennison Shultz (VSB # 21842)

Carl E. Jennison (VSB # 42889) JENNISON & SHULTZ, P.C.

2001 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Suite 1102

Arlington, Virginia 222023604

(703) 415-1640

(703) 415-0788 (fax)

John@JennisonLaw.com

KJS@JennisonLaw.com

Carl@JennisonLaw.com

William C. Steffin Armin Azod STEFFIN LELKES AZOD LLP 505 North Figueroa Street, Suite 601 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel.: (212) 813-5900 williamsteffin@usaiplaw.com armin.azod@usaiplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Attachment 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
MILO SHAMMAS			DAVID KAPPOS, Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Vacaville, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			e of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	·	
			ND CONDEMNATION CASES, I D INVOLVED.	USE THE LOCATION OF THE	
(c) Attorney's (Firm National Shultz, P.C.: Arlington, VA 22202-360	ne, Address, and Telephone Number) 2001 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Suite 1102, 4, (703) 415-1640	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF D I D I Incorporated <i>or</i> F	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place	
☑ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In Th		
	(maratic chaonamp of ratios in tell in)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SU					
CONTRACT	TORIS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen		☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT	☐ 660 Occupational	🗷 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health 690 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	D 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL/RIGHTS **** PRISONER PETITION	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	17 442 Employment Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information	
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	Accommodations	MMCharias	26 USC 7609	Act	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 533 Death Fenalty	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application		900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
	Employment	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice	
•	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition Other	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	1 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
⊠ 1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) cmoved from		ferred from 6 Multidistr	Magistrate	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are		1y <i>)</i>	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1071(b)				
vii cheel of heri	Brief description of cause:				
VII DEOUECTED IN	Civil action to overturn denial of trade		States Patent and Trad	emark Office	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes Ø No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOGWEWN		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ALT	RNEY OF RECORD			
12/19/2012	, XI~ VI	1			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
	AOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	

John N. Jennison
Kathryn Jennison Shultz
Carl E. Jennison
JENNISON & SHULTZ, P.C.
2001 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Suite 1102
Arlington, VA 22202-3604
(703) 415-1640
(703) 415-0788 (fax)
John@JennisonLaw.com
KJS@JennisonLaw.com
Carl@JennisonLaw.com

William C. Steffin
Armin Azod
STEFFIN LELKES AZOD LLP
505 North Figueroa Street, Suite 601
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 507-9796
william.steffin@usaiplaw.com
armin.azod@usaiplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA MILO SHAMMAS

Plaintiff,

-against-

DAVID KAPPOS, Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Defendant.

Case No. 1:12cv 1462
TSE/TCB

Plaintiff Milo Shammas ("Plaintiff"), by his undersigned attorneys, Steffin Lelkes Azod, LLP, for his Complaint against defendant David Kappos, the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff, Milo Shammas, is an individual residing in the state of California, with offices at 896 Granada Lane, Vacaville, California, 95688.
- Defendant David Kappos is the Director of the U.S. Patent and
 Trademark Office with an address at P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 21(b) of the U.S. Trademark Act of 1946 (the "Lanham Act"), as amended, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1071(b), which provides that a party dissatisfied with a final decision of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB") may institute a new civil action in a Federal District Court challenging such decision. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331.
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1391(e) (1) (A).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

5. On June 12, 2009, Plaintiff, pursuant to Section 1(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1051(b), filed a federal trademark application based on use, for the mark PROBIOTIC ("Mark") in standard characters for Ammonium chloride

fertilizer; Ammonium nitrate fertilizer; Ammonium sulphate fertilizer; Blood powder; Bone meal; Brewers' grain; Calcined potassium fertilizer; Calcium silicate fertilizer; Calcium superphosphate fertilizer; Chemical fertilizers; Chemically converted compound fertilizers; Compost; Double or triple superphosphate fertilizer; Fertilizers; Fertilizers and manures; Fertilizers for agricultural use; Fertilizers for domestic use; Fertilizing preparations; Leaf mold; Manganese fertilizer; Marine fertilizer; Mixed fertilizers; Natural fertilizers; Non-chemical bio-fertilizers; Omplex fertilizers; Peat; Potassium chloride fertilizer; Potassium sulphate fertilizer; Rice bran; Sodium nitrate fertilizer; Thomas phosphatic fertilizer; Urea fertilizer (the "Goods") in Class 1 on the Principal Register. The application was assigned Serial No. 77/758863 (the "Application").

- 6. An Office Action issued on September 14, 2009, refusing registration on the basis of being merely descriptive for the identified goods pursuant to Section 2(e)(1) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. §1052(e)(1). In addition to being merely descriptive, the Office Action refused registration for the applied-for mark on the basis of being generic in connection with the identified goods and, therefore, incapable of functioning as a source-identifier for applicant's goods pursuant to Section 2(e)(1).
- 7. On August 30, 2010, Plaintiff filed a response arguing that PROBIOTIC had acquired distinctiveness over the last 10 years.

- 8. A Final Office Action, dated February 24, 2011, maintained and made final the merely descriptive and generic refusal to register.
- 9. On June 6, 2011, Plaintiff amended its dates of use to specify July 12, 2000 for both dates of use and submitted a Section 2(f) claim, both supported by a signed verification.
 - 10. On June 12, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal with the TTAB
- 11. On October 18, 2011, Plaintiff also filed an appeal brief with the TTAB, pursuant to Section 21(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1071(b), asserting Defendant erred by, *inter alia*, holding the mark generic and descriptive because the commonly understood definition of the word is not associated in any way with soils and fertilizers.
- 12. On October 25, 2012 the TTAB issued an order affirming the refusal to register and rejected 7 of the 8 Exhibits attached to Plaintiff's appeal brief.
- 13. In denying the appeal, the TTAB erred in holding that PROBIOTIC is descriptive for the Goods.
- 14. In denying the appeal, the TTAB also erred in holding that PROBIOTIC is generic for soils and fertilizers.
- 15. In denying the appeal, the TTAB also erred in holding PROBIOTIC has not gained secondary meaning in the market for soils and fertilizers.
- 16. In denying the appeal, the TTAB also erred in holding that the mark has not acquired distinctiveness in the marketplace.

- 17. In denying the appeal, the TTAB also erred in holding that even if the Plaintiff was the first user of the term PROBIOTIC, it is now a generic term for soils and fertilizers.
- 18. Moreover, the TTAB did not consider or distinguish that the word-goods association made by the Examiner relating to PROBIOTIC is not made by the general population with regard to soils and fertilizers.
- 19. The TTAB erred in not reversing the refusal of registration of Plaintiff's application and Mark and in refusing to consider all of Plaintiff's submitted evidence.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. Plaintiff requests a finding that the mark PROBIOTIC is not generic when used in connection with soils and fertilizers and the Goods.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Plaintiff requests that the Court hold as a matter of law that the TTAB erred in refusing the registration of the Plaintiff's mark PROBIOTIC on the basis that the Mark is generic for fertilizer and soils and the Goods and that the Mark is not generic for Plaintiff's Goods.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. Plaintiff requests that the Court hold as a matter of law that the TTAB erred in refusing the registration of the Plaintiff's mark PROBIOTIC on the basis that the mark is descriptive pursuant to section 2(e) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(e)(1).

PRAYER OF RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court enter judgment:

- (a) Reversing the Decision of the TTAB, dated October 25, 2012, and to order the allowance of Plaintiff's application for registration of the mark PROBIOTIC on the Principle Register for the Goods.
- (b) Declaring that Plaintiff's mark PROBIOTIC is not merely descriptive when used in connection with the Goods.
- (c) Declaring that Plaintiff's mark PROBIOTIC is not generic for soils and fertilizers or for the Goods.
- (d) Requiring Defendant to approve the Application for publication and subsequent registration upon Plaintiff's establishment of a valid base for registration under Lanham Act Section 1(a); and
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff such other relief as this Court may deem proper.

Dated: December 19, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

JENNISON & SHULTZ, P.C.

By:

John N. Jennison, Ésq. (VSB # 36824) Kathryn Jennison Shultz, Esq. (VSB # 21842) Carl E. Jennison, Esq. (VSB # 42889) JENNISON & SHULTZ, P.C. 2001 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Suite 1102 Arlington, Virginia 22202-3604 703-415-1640

703-415-0788 (fax)

<u>John@JennisonLaw.com</u> KJS@JennisonLaw.com Carl@JennisonLaw.com

William C. Steffin, Esq.
Armin Azod, Esq.
STEFFIN LELKES AZOD LLP
505 North Figueroa Street, Suite 601
Los Angeles, California 90012
213-507-9796
williamsteffin@usaiplaw.com
armin.azod@usaiplaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and complete copy of the forgoing COMPLAINT was served upon Defendant, to be hand delivered, to Neil H. MacBride, United States Attorney of the Eastern District of Virginia, Justin W. Williams United States Attorney's Building, 2100 Jamieson Avenue,, Alexandria, VA 22314, this 25th day of September, 2012.

John N. Jennison, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and complete copy of the forgoing COMPLAINT was served upon Defendant, to be hand delivered, to Bernard Knight, General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Madison Building East, Room 10B20, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314, this 19th day of December, 2012.

John N. Jennison, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and complete copy of the forgoing COMPLAINT was served upon Defendant, by forwarding same via Certified Mail, postage prepaid, to Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States, U.S. Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001, this 19th day of December, 2012.

John N. Jennison, Esq.